



Federal Awards Reports in Accordance  
With the Uniform Guidance  
December 31, 2016

## City of Moorhead, Minnesota

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ..... | 1 |
| Report on MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE .....  | 3 |
| Independent Auditors Report.....  | 3 |
| Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance.....        | 4 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....   | 7 |
| Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .....   | 8 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....  | 9 |



**Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

To the City Council  
City of Moorhead, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Moorhead, Minnesota (the City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 26, 2017. This report does not include the results of our testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported upon separately for Moorhead Public Service (Electric and Water major proprietary funds). Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Moorhead Public Housing Agency, the discretely presented component unit, as described in our report on the City’s financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors’ testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not yet been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Sully LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Fargo, North Dakota  
June 26, 2017



Report on MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE  
**Independent Auditors Report**

To the City Council  
City of Moorhead, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, the discretely presented component unit, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Moorhead, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 26, 2017.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Cities*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. §6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe the City failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Cities*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Fargo, North Dakota  
June 26, 2017



**Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program;  
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of  
Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

To the City Council  
City of Moorhead, Minnesota

**Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program**

We have audited the City of Moorhead’s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City’s major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016. The City’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

The City’s basic financial statements include the operations of Moorhead Public Service (Electric and Water major proprietary funds) and the Moorhead Public Housing Agency (discretely presented component unit) which received \$4,367,997 and \$935,587, respectively, in federal awards which are not included in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended December 31, 2016. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of Moorhead Public Service and the Moorhead Public Housing Agency because the proprietary funds and component unit have separately issued audits in accordance with Uniform Guidance.

**Management’s Responsibility**

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

**Auditor’s Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the compliance for the City’s major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

### **Opinion on the Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major Federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Moorhead's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Moorhead as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated June 26, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Bailly LLP". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name and date.

Fargo, North Dakota  
June 26, 2017



City of Moorhead, Minnesota  
 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
 Year Ended December 31, 2016

| Federal Grantor/Pass-Through<br>Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Pass Through Entity<br>Identifying Number | Federal<br>CFDA<br>Number | Expenditures |              |
|--|---|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| <u>Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>               |   |                           |              |              |
| Direct Programs:   |   |                           |              |              |
| Community Development Block Grant                                | N/A                                       | 14.218                    | \$           | 348,064      |
| <u>Department of Justice</u>                                     |   |                           |              |              |
| Direct Programs:   |   |                           |              |              |
| Community Capacity Development<br>Office - Police Overtime       | N/A                                       | 16.595                    | \$           | 32,263       |
| BJA Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program                         | N/A                                       | 16.607                    |              | 3,579        |
| Total Department of Justice                                      |   |                           |              | 35,842       |
| <u>Department of Transportation</u>                              |   |                           |              |              |
| Airport Improvement Program                                      | SP-1401-25                                | 20.106                    |              | 22,861       |
| Highway Planning & Construction                                  | N/A                                       | 20.205                    |              | 669,324      |
| Passed through to the State of Minnesota                         |   |                           |              |              |
| 2016 Veterans Transportation &<br>Community Living Initiative    | 1003052                                   | 20.514                    | \$           | 2,415        |
| Total Passed Through   |   |                           |              | 2,415        |
| Direct Programs:   |   |                           |              |              |
| Federal Transit Administration                                   |   |                           |              |              |
| Operating  | N/A                                       | 20.507                    | 39,550       |              |
| Capital  | N/A                                       | 20.507                    | 377,174      |              |
| Planning   | N/A                                       | 20.507                    | 16,345       |              |
| 2016 Capital Transit Vehicle                                     | 7273                                      | 20.526                    | 1,063,599    |              |
| Total Federal Transit Cluster                                    |   |                           |              | 1,496,668    |
| Towards Zero Deaths - 2016                                       | A-ENFRC16-2016-<br>MOORHDPD-00015         | 20.600                    | 8,702        |              |
| Towards Zero Deaths - 2017                                       | A-ENFRC17-2017-<br>MOORHDPD-00057         | 20.600                    | 802          |              |
| Towards Zero Deaths Safe Roads - 2016                            | A-SAFE16-2016-<br>MOORHDPD-00026          | 20.600                    | 12,002       |              |
| Towards Zero Deaths - 2016                                       | A-ENFRC16-2016-<br>MOORHDPD-00015         | 20.616                    | 13,509       |              |
| Towards Zero Deaths - 2017                                       | A-ENFRC17-2017-<br>MOORHDPD-00057         | 20.616                    | 6,048        |              |
| Total CFDA#20.600 & #20.616 Cluster                              |   |                           |              | 41,063       |
| Towards Zero Deaths - 2016                                       | A-ENFRC16-2016-<br>MOORHDPD-00015         | 20.608                    | 3,002        |              |
| Towards Zero Deaths - 2017                                       | A-ENFRC17-2017-<br>MOORHDPD-00057         | 20.608                    | 1,577        |              |
| Total CFDA #20.608   |   |                           |              | 4,579        |
| 2015 Hazardous Materials<br>Emergency Preparedness               | A-HMEP-2014-<br>MOORHDFD-00024            | 20.703                    |              | 13,480       |
| Total Department of Transportation                               |   |                           |              | 2,250,390    |
| Total Federal Financial Assistance                               |   |                           |              | \$ 2,634,296 |

**Note A – Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. The City received federal awards both directly from federal agencies and indirectly through pass-through entities.

**Note B – Significant Accounting Policies**

Governmental fund types account for the City's federal grant activity. Therefore, expenditures in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are recognized on the modified accrual basis – when they become a demand on current available financial resources. The City's summary of significant accounting policies is presented in Note 1 in the City's basic financial statements.

The City has not elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate.

**Note C – Subrecipients of Grant Awards**

Of the federal expenditures presented in the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards, the City provided no federal awards to subrecipients.

**Section I – Summary of Auditor’s Results**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| Type of auditor's report issued  | Unmodified    |
| Internal control over financial reporting:                                   |               |
| Material weaknesses identified   | No            |
| Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses | None Reported |
| Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?                        | No            |

**FEDERAL AWARDS**

|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| Internal control over major program:   |               |
| Material weaknesses identified   | No            |
| Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses                       | None Reported |
| Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:                                  | Unmodified    |
| Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance: | No            |

**Identification of major programs:**

| <u>Name of Federal Program</u>   | <u>CFDA Number</u> |
|--|--------------------|
| Federal Transit Cluster  | 20.507 & 20.526    |
| Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: | \$ 750,000         |
| Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?                                   | Yes                |

---

**Section II – Financial Statement Findings**

---

None reported

---

**Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs**

---

None reported

---

**Section IV – Minnesota Legal Compliance Findings**

---

None reported